

IDEALS GENERATED BY QUADRICS

JOOYOUN HONG, ARON SIMIS, AND WOLMER V. VASCONCELOS

ABSTRACT. Our purpose is to study the cohomological properties of the Rees algebras of a class of ideals generated by quadrics. For *all* such ideals $I \subset \mathbf{R} = K[x, y, z]$ we give the precise value of $\text{depth } \mathbf{R}[It]$ and decide whether the corresponding rational maps are birational. In the case of dimension $d \geq 3$, when $K = \mathbb{R}$, we give structure theorems for all ideals of codimension d minimally generated by $\binom{d+1}{2} - 1$ quadrics. For arbitrary fields K , we prove a polarized version.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field, $\mathbf{R} = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. We say that an ideal I of $\mathbf{R}$ is *submaximally generated* if it has codimension d and is minimally generated by $\nu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics. Even with these restrictions, the cohomological properties of the Rees algebras $\mathbf{R}[It]$, wrapped around the calculation of their depths, can be daunting. In two circumstances we give a full picture of these algebras.

The first result—Theorem 2.1—asserts, for all ideals I of codimension 3 minimally generated by 5 quadrics in $K[x, y, z]$, an interesting uniformity: the rational mapping defined by I is birational, $\mathbf{R}[It]$ satisfies the condition R_1 of Serre and $\text{depth } \mathbf{R}[It] = 1$ or 3 depending on whether I is Gorenstein or not.

We recall that a set of forms $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathbf{R}$ of fixed degree n defines a *birational* map if the field extension

$$K(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset K((x_1, \dots, x_d)_n)$$

is an equality, where the right most field is the Veronese field of order n . A basic result ([4, Proposition 3.3]) states that, for an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal $I \subset \mathbf{R}$, birationality is equivalent to $\mathbf{R}[It]$ having the condition R_1 of Serre.

In all dimensions d , if $K = \mathbb{R}$, two structure results—Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5—describe first all the Gorenstein ideals of codimension d minimally generated by $\nu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics and then how these ideals are assembled together to describe all others. The corresponding vector spaces of quadrics are always spanned by binomials and are distributed into finitely many orbits of the natural action of the linear group. In all characteristics, Theorem 3.6 gives a polarized version of Theorem 3.1, with similar consequences.

AMS 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A30; Secondary 13F20, 13H10, 13H15.

Key Words and Phrases. Rees algebra, associated graded ring, Hilbert coefficients, Castelnuovo regularity, relation type.

The second author is partially supported by a CNPQ Research Fellowship (Brazil) and a CAPES Senior Visiting Research Scholarship (Brazil).

2. IDEALS GENERATED BY 5 QUADRICS

Throughout this section $\mathbf{R}$ is the ring of polynomial $K[x, y, z]$ over the field K . In a few occasions when dealing with Gorenstein ideals we assume that $\text{char } K \neq 2$. Our purpose is to prove the following assertion about all ideals of codimension 3 generated by 5 quadrics.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{R} = K[x, y, z]$, and I an ideal of codimension 3 minimally generated by 5 quadrics.*

- (i) *If $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and I is Gorenstein, then I is syzygetic. Conversely, in all characteristics, if I is syzygetic, then I is Gorenstein.*
- (ii) *If I is syzygetic, then $\text{depth } \text{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = 0$.*
- (iii) *If I is not Gorenstein, then $\text{depth } \text{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = 2$.*
- (iv) *$\mathbf{R}[It]$ has the condition R_1 of Serre, i.e., the set of quadrics generating I is birational.*
- (v) *$\text{red}(I) = 2$.*

Let I be an ideal of codimension 3 generated by quadrics of $\mathbf{R} = K[x, y, z]$, $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)$. As a general reference we shall use [1] and unexplained terminology will be defined when needed.

We begin with some observations about the two Hilbert functions associated to I , i.e., the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$ and that of the associated graded ring $\text{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} I^i/I^{i+1}$ of the ideal I . In order to determine the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$, we may extend the field K to assume that K is infinite. Let J be a minimal reduction of I generated by 3 quadrics. The Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/J$ is $(1, 3, 3, 1)$, with the socle in degree 3. If I is minimally generated by 5 quadrics, $\lambda(I/J) \geq 2$, that is $5 \leq \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) \leq 6$. The possible Hilbert functions of $\mathbf{R}/I$ are $(1, 3, 1)$ or $(1, 3, 1, 1)$. The second cannot occur since the socle of $\mathbf{R}/J$ will map to 0 in $\mathbf{R}/I$. Hence $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) = 5$. If I is minimally generated by 4 quadrics, a similar analysis shows that the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$ is $(1, 3, 2)$. Hence $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) = 6$.

The other Hilbert function is that of $\text{gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} I^i/I^{i+1}$ of the ideal I . Its two leading coefficients $e_0(I)$ and $e_1(I)$ are known to some extent: since the integral closure of I is $\mathfrak{m}^2$, $e_0(I) = e_0(\mathfrak{m}^2) = 8$ and $e_1(I) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{m}^2) = 4$.

More generally, suppose that $\mathbf{R} = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ with $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and that I is a Gorenstein ideal of codimension d minimally generated by $\nu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics. Then the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{R}/I$ is simply $(1, d, 1)$, because once a component has dimension 1 those that follow also have dimension 1 or 0. The symmetry in the case of Gorenstein algebras show that the Hilbert function has to be of the type indicated.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is the assembly of Propositions 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4. We recall the notion of syzygetic ideals. Given an ideal I , there is a canonical mapping from its symmetric square S_2 onto I^2 :

$$0 \rightarrow \delta(I) \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow I^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

An ideal I is called *syzygetic* if $\delta(I) = 0$. If I is syzygetic, then the minimal number of generators of I^2 , $\nu(I^2)$, is given by the formula $\binom{\nu(I)+1}{2}$. The converse however is not true. Another elementary property of these ideals is that $I^2 \neq JI$ for any proper subideal $J \subset I$.

We recall that if $1/2 \in K$ and I is Gorenstein of codimension 3, then I syzygetic ([3, Proposition 2.8]).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{R} = K[x, y, z]$, and I an ideal of codimension 3 generated by 5 quadrics. If I is syzygetic, then*

- (i) $\mathbf{R}[It]$ has the condition R_1 of Serre;
- (ii) $\text{depth gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = 0$;
- (iii) $\text{red}(I) = 2$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal containing I . Since I is syzygetic, its square is minimally generated by $\binom{6}{2} = 15$ quartics and therefore we must have $I^2 = \mathfrak{m}^4$. From the Hilbert function of R/I , we have $\mathfrak{m}^3 = \mathfrak{m}I$. Hence

$$I^2 = \mathfrak{m}^4 = \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}^3 = \mathfrak{m}^2I,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m}^6 = \mathfrak{m}^2I^2 = I^3.$$

Similarly we have $\mathfrak{m}^{2n} = I^n$ for $n \geq 2$. [This implies, of course, that I and $\mathfrak{m}^2$ have the same Hilbert polynomial.] Thus in the embedding of Rees algebras

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[It] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}[\mathfrak{m}^2t] \longrightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

C is a module of finite length. Since $\mathbf{R}[\mathfrak{m}^2t]$ is normal, $\mathbf{R}[It]$ has the condition R_1 and depth 1. By the proof of [8, Proposition 1.1], we get $\text{depth gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = 0$.

The proof of (iii) is clear: If J is a minimal reduction of I , it is also a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}^2$, an ideal of reduction number 1,

$$I^3 = \mathfrak{m}^6 = J\mathfrak{m}^4 = JI^2.$$

Since $I^2 \neq JI$, the reduction number of I is 2. $\square$

Of course we don't know yet of other classes of syzygetic ideals. This is clarified in the next result. Let us discuss non-Gorenstein ideals.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $R = K[x, y, z]$ and I an ideal of codimension 3 minimally generated by 5 quadrics. Suppose that I is not Gorenstein. Then I is either (x^2, xy, xz, y^2, z^2) or $(x^2, xy, xz, yz, ay^2 + cz^2)$ up to change of variables. In particular, I is not syzygetic and $\text{red}(I) = 2$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)$. Since $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}^2/I) = 1$, $\mathfrak{m}^2$ is contained in the socle of I . A larger socle would contain a linear form h , so $h \cdot \mathfrak{m} \subset I$. We may assume that K is infinite. We change variables and set $x = h$. With $x(x, y, z) \subset I$, I can be written as

$$I = (x \cdot \mathfrak{m}, q_1, q_2),$$

where q_1 and q_2 are quadratic forms in y, z .

If $q_1 = ay^2 + byz + cz^2$ and $q_2 = dy^2 + eyz + fz^2$, we cannot have $a = d = 0$, but by subtraction we can assume that one of them is 0 and the other nonzero. If $q_1 = (by + cz)z$ we can by change of variables assume that either $q_1 = yz$ or $q_1 = z^2$. In the first case we eliminate the mixed term in q_2 to get $q_2 = d'y^2 + f'z^2$. In the other case, we eliminate the z^2 term in q_2 and get $q_2 = d'y^2 + e'yz$. At the point we reverse the roles get $q_2 = yz$ and get $q_1 = a'y^2 + c'z^2$.

We carry out a last change of variables and set $y = h_1$ and $z = h_2$. In the first case we have

$$I = (x^2, xy, xz, y^2, z^2),$$

while in the second case we have

$$I = (x^2, xy, xz, yz, ay^2 + cz^2).$$

In both cases, by the proof of Proposition 2.2, the ideal I is not syzygetic because $I^2 \neq \mathfrak{m}^4$.

By faithful flatness, we may pass to the algebraic closure of K and, by rescaling, we may assume $a = b = 1$. Then these ideals have reduction number 2 since (x^2, y^2, z^2) and $(x^2, yz, y^2 + z^2)$ are respective minimal reductions. $\square$

To complete the picture we should compute $e_1(I)$ for submaximally generated ideal I .

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathbf{R} = K[x, y, z]$ with K infinite field, and I an ideal of codimension 3 minimally generated by 5 quadrics.*

- (i) $e_1(I) = 4$ and thus $\mathbf{R}[It]$ has the condition R_1 of Serre;
- (ii) If I is not Gorenstein, then $\text{depth gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = 2$.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal containing I . Since $\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the integral closure of I , we have $e_1(I) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{m}^2) = 4$. Moreover, we make use of the multiplicity of the Sally module of I ([14, Theorem 2.11]):

$$0 < s_0(I) = e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) = e_1(I) - 8 + 5.$$

This implies that $e_1(I) = 4$. In particular, the equality $e_1(I) = e_1(\mathfrak{m}^2)$ implies the condition R_1 for $\mathbf{R}[It]$.

(ii) Suppose that I is not Gorenstein. By Proposition 2.3, the ideal I is either (x^2, xy, xz, y^2, z^2) or $(x^2, xy, xz, yz, y^2 + z^2)$ up to change of variables and by passing to the algebraic closure of K . We claim that, in both cases, $\lambda(I^2/JI) = 1$ for a minimal reduction J of I . If $I = (x^2, xy, xz, y^2, z^2)$, then we may choose $J = (x^2, y^2, z^2)$. Notice that $x^2yz = xy \cdot xz$ is the only generator of I^2 not in JI . Thus, $I^2/JI \simeq R/(JI : (x^2yz))$. On the other hand, we have

$$x \cdot x^2yz = z \cdot x^3y \in JI, \quad y \cdot x^2yz = z \cdot x^2y^2 \in JI, \quad z \cdot x^2yz = y \cdot x^2z^2 \in JI.$$

Therefore, $\lambda(I^2/JI) = 1$. Now suppose that $I = (x^2, xy, xz, yz, y^2 + z^2)$. Then we may choose $J = (x^2, yz, y^2 + z^2)$ so that $x^2y^2 = (xy)^2$ is the only generator of I^2 not in JI . Repeating the same argument as above, we find

$$x \cdot x^2y^2 = y \cdot x^3y \in JI, \quad y \cdot x^2y^2 = x \cdot xy^3 \in JI, \quad z \cdot x^2y^2 = y \cdot x^2yz \in JI,$$

which means that $\lambda(I^2/JI) = 1$. Hence by [7, Theorem 4.7], $\text{depth gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) \geq 2$. Since $I \neq \mathfrak{m}^2$, by Serre's normality criterion we cannot have $\text{depth gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = 3$ as this would be equivalent to $\mathbf{R}[It]$ being Cohen–Macaulay and then $\mathbf{R}[It] = \mathbf{R}[\mathfrak{m}^2t]$. $\square$

In the next corollary we compute the Castelnuovo regularity of the Rees algebras of the ideals above. We are going to be guided by the techniques of [13]. In view of the fact that $\text{reg}(\text{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})) = \text{reg}(\mathbf{R}[It])$ ([9, Proposition 4.1], [11, Lemma 4.8]), we will find more convenient to deal with the associated graded ring.

Corollary 2.5. *For all these ideals the Castelnuovo regularity of the Rees algebras $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is 2, in particular these algebras have relation type at most 3 (precisely 3 in the Gorenstein case).*

Proof. We separate the two cases. Suppose that I is not syzygetic. Then $\text{depth gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) = 2$. According to [13, Theorem 6.4] (see also [10, Corollary 2.2]), $\text{reg}(\mathbf{R}[It]) = \text{red}(I) = 2$.

If I is syzygetic, set $\mathbf{A} = \text{gr}_I(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathbf{B} = \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}^2}(\mathbf{R})$. We are going to make use of the fact that $\mathfrak{m}^2/I \simeq K$ and $I^n = \mathfrak{m}^{2n}$ for all $n \geq 2$. Consider the exact sequence of finitely generated graded modules $\mathbf{A}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \rightarrow K[-1] \rightarrow 0,$$

where K and $K[-1]$ are copies of K concentrated in degrees 0 and 1 (resp.), which we break up into two short exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \rightarrow K[-1] \rightarrow 0.$$

To compute the Castelnuovo regularity of $\mathbf{A}$ we apply the local cohomology functors $H^i(\cdot) = H_{\mathbf{A}_+}^i(\cdot)$ and obtain the isomorphisms (recall that $\mathbf{B}$ is Cohen-Macaulay):

$$H^0(\mathbf{A}) = K, \quad H^1(\mathbf{A}) = K[-1], \quad H^2(\mathbf{A}) = 0, \quad H^3(\mathbf{A}) = H^3(\mathbf{B}).$$

Denoting by $a_i(\cdot)$ the function that reads the top degree of these cohomology modules, in the formula

$$\text{reg}(\mathbf{A}) = \max\{a_i(\mathbf{A}) + i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3\},$$

therefore

$$\text{reg}(\mathbf{A}) = \max\{0, 2, 0, a_3(\mathbf{B}) + 3\}.$$

But $a_3(\mathbf{B}) + 3 = \text{reg}(\mathbf{B}) = \text{red}(\mathbf{m}^2) = 1$, since $\mathbf{B}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Thus $\text{reg}(\mathbf{A}) = 2$. An alternative proof would be by the use of [11, Proposition 4]. $\square$

Remark 2.6. A similar statement and proof will apply to the special fiber $\mathcal{F}(I) = \mathbf{R}[It] \otimes \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{m}$ in the case of Gorenstein ideals. We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{mR}[It] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{mR}[\mathbf{m}^2t] & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}[It] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{m}^2t] & \longrightarrow & K[-1] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(I) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}^2) & \longrightarrow & K[-1] \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbf{m}^2/I \simeq K$, $I^n = \mathbf{m}^{2n}$ for all $n \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{m}^3 = \mathbf{m}I$, the two top rows are exact. Thus the bottom row is also exact and therefore both the depth and regularity of $\mathcal{F}(I)$ can be read off it, in particular $\text{depth } \mathcal{F}(I) = 1$.

We can also calculate the depth of the special fiber for all the other ideals generated by 5 quadrics. We may assume that K is algebraically closed. Our discussion showed that they all belong to the orbits of (x^2, xy, xz, y^2, z^2) and $(x^2, xy, xz, yz, y^2 + z^2)$. Treating each by *Macaulay2*, they both have Cohen-Macaulay special fibers. In particular, they do not satisfy the condition R_1 .

Ideals generated by 4 quadrics. Now we complete our study of the Rees algebras of ideals generated by quadrics in 3-space by considering ideals I generated by 4 quadrics.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $I = (J, a)$ be an ideal minimally generated by 4 quadrics of codimension 3 in $\mathbf{R} = K[x, y, z]$, where J is a minimal reduction. Then*

- (i) *I has reduction number 1 or 3, and I is never syzygetic. If $\text{red}(I) = 1$, $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is Cohen-Macaulay and does not satisfy the condition R_1 .*
- (ii) *If $\text{red}(I) = 3$, $\text{depth } \mathbf{R}[It] = 3$ and satisfies the condition R_1 .*

Proof. We already observed that $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) = 6$ and $e_1(I) \leq 4$. We recall that $e_1(I) = 4$ means that $\mathbf{R}[It]$ has the condition R_1 of Serre. By [4, Proposition 3.3] $\text{red}(I) = 1, 3$, where $\text{red}(I) = 3$ corresponds to the condition R_1 . Moreover, an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary almost complete intersection, in any dimension, is never syzygetic according to the formula of [4, Proposition 3.7].

Note that $\lambda(I/J) = 2$. Let $u = \lambda(I^2/JI)$ and $v = \lambda(I^3/JI^2)$. By [5, Proposition 3.12], $\lambda(I^2/JI) = 1$ or 0 , depending on whether $J : I = \mathfrak{m}$ or not. If $u = v = 1$, by Huckaba's theorem ([6, Theorem 2.1], [7, Theorem 4.7(b)]) we would have that $\text{depth } G = 2$.

If $u = 1$, we have $I^2 = (ab) + JI$, $a, b \in I$. We claim that $I^3 = (a^2b) + JI^2$. Indeed, for $u, v, w \in I$ we have $uv = rab + s$, $s \in JI$. Therefore $uvw = rabw + ws$, and if we write $bw = pab + q$, where $q \in I$, we have

$$uvw = rpa^2b + A, \quad A = ws + raq \in JI^2.$$

We now have the data to apply Huckaba's theorem ([6, Theorem 2.1]): $e_1(I) = 4$, $e_0(I) = 8$, $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) = 6$, $\lambda(I^2/JI) = \lambda(I^3/JI^2) = 1$,

$$4 - 8 + 6 = 1 + 1,$$

and thus $\text{depth gr}_I(\mathbf{R}) \geq 2$, but as we cannot have $\mathbf{R}[It]$ to be Cohen-Macaulay as otherwise, with the condition R_1 we would have $\mathbf{R}[It] = \mathbf{R}[\mathfrak{m}^2t]$. □

3. FINITENESS OF GORENSTEIN IDEALS

Our next goal is an analysis of the conjectural fact that the number of Gorenstein ideals of $\mathbf{R} = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ generated by $\nu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics is finite, up to change of variables. The correct number may depend on K .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{R} = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ with $d \geq 3$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ and let I be an $\mathbf{R}$ -ideal of codimension d minimally generated by $\nu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics. If I is a Gorenstein ideal then up to change of variables, I is one of the following d ideals*

$$I = (x_i x_j, x_1^2 \pm x_k^2 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq d, k = 2, \dots, d).$$

The converse holds for all fields K .

Proof. Let I be one of these ideals and set $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}/I$. Fix $h \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ a generator of the socle of $\mathbf{A}$. Let $V = \mathbf{A}_1$ the d -dimensional vector space generated by the linear forms of $\mathbf{A}$. On V define the scalar product $\circ$ that makes $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ an orthonormal basis. Finally define a quadratic form on V by the rule

$$u, v \in V \quad uv = C(u, v)h, \quad C(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$C(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symmetric bilinear form: let $\mathbf{B}$ be the corresponding symmetric matrix,

$$C(u, v) = u \circ \mathbf{B}(v).$$

Since the socle of $\mathbf{A}$ contains no linear form, $\mathbf{B}$ is nonsingular of eigenvalues $\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$. By the spectral Theorem, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{P}$ is orthogonal and $\mathbf{D}$ is the diagonal matrix $[a_1 : a_2 : \dots : a_d]$. The change of variables

$$\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d\} = \mathbf{P}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$$

then give that the quadrics

$$\{X_i X_j, a_2 X_1^2 - a_1 X_2^2, \dots, a_d X_1^2 - a_1 X_d^2\} \subset I,$$

and therefore generate the ideal I since they are linearly independent. By rescaling and taking appropriate square roots we conclude that I is one of $(x_i x_j, x_1^2 \pm x_k^2 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq d, k = 2, \dots, d)$.

For the converse, let $I = (x_i x_j, x_1^2 \pm x_k^2 \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq d, k = 2, \dots, d)$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}^3 = \mathfrak{m}I$, and therefore the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{A}$ is $(1, d, 1)$. Suppose that I is not a Gorenstein ideal. Then there exists a linear form h in the socle of $\mathbf{A}$, that is $h \cdot \mathfrak{m} \subset I$. Let $h = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j x_j$, where $a_i \in K$. Then, for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, we have $x_i \sum_{j=1}^d a_j x_j \in I$. Since $x_i x_j \in I$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq d$, we get $a_i x_i^2 \in I$, which means that $a_i = 0$ for all i . Hence $h = 0$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Example 3.2. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a 3×3 matrix with linear entries in $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$. If the ideal $I = I_2(\mathbf{A})$ has codimension 4 then after change of variables it can be generated by 6 monomials and 3 binomials.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ with $d \geq 3$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Let I be a Gorenstein $\mathbf{R}$ -ideal of codimension d minimally generated by $\nu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics. Then

- (i) $I^2 = \mathfrak{m}^4$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{R}[It]$ has the condition R_1 and $\text{depth gr}_I(R) = 0$.
- (iii) $e_1(I) = (d-1)2^{d-2}$.
- (iv) If $d = 3$, then $\text{red}(I) = 2$. If $d \geq 4$, then $d = 2 \cdot \text{red}(I)$ or $d = 2 \cdot \text{red}(I) + 1$.
- (v) If $d \geq 4$, then I is not syzygetic.

Proof. (i) It is enough to prove that $x_i^4 \in I^2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. This follows from

$$x_i^4 = (x_i^2 \pm x_j^2)(x_i^2 \pm x_k^2) \pm (x_i x_k)^2 \pm (x_i x_j)^2 \pm (x_j x_k)^2 \in I^2,$$

where i, j, k are all distinct.

(ii) It follows from the similar argument given in the proof of Proposition 2.2.

(iii) Since $\mathfrak{m}^3 = \mathfrak{m}I$ and $\mathfrak{m}^4 = I^2$, we have $I^n = \mathfrak{m}^{2n}$ for all $n \geq 2$. In particular, we obtain an equality of respective Hilbert polynomials:

$$e_0(I) \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(I) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \dots = \binom{2n+1+d}{d}.$$

By comparing the coefficients of n^{d-1} of both sides, we get

$$\frac{e_0(I)d(d+1)}{2d!} - \frac{e_1(I)}{(d-1)!} = \frac{(d^2 + 3d)2^{d-2}}{d!}.$$

Now we use $e_0(I) = 2^d$ and solve this equation for $e_1(I)$, which proves the assertion.

(iv) Since $I^n = \mathfrak{m}^{2n}$ for all $n \geq 2$, for all $n \geq 2$, we get

$$\mathfrak{m}^{2(n+1)} = I^{n+1} = JI^n = J\mathfrak{m}^{2n}.$$

Since both reduction numbers of I and $\mathfrak{m}^2$ are greater than 1, it implies that the reduction numbers of I and $\mathfrak{m}^2$ are equal.

(v) Suppose that I is syzygetic. Then by

$$\binom{d+3}{4} = \nu(\mathfrak{m}^4) = \nu(I^2) = \binom{\nu(I)+1}{2} = \frac{(d+2)(d+1)d(d-1)}{8}.$$

By solving this for d , we get $d = 3$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let I be a Gorenstein ideal as above. Then for $d \geq 4$, $\text{reg}(\mathbf{R}[It]) = \text{red}(\mathfrak{m}^2)$, in particular the relation type of $\mathbf{R}[It]$ is at most $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m}^2) + 1$.*

Proof. It is the same calculation as Corollary 2.5, except that now we use that $\text{red}(\mathfrak{m}^2) \geq 2$. $\square$

As in Remark 2.6, we also have the depth of $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is 1.

Submaximally generated ideals of quadrics. Let $\mathbf{R} = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, and let I be an ideal of codimension d minimally generated by $\nu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics. It is obvious that such ideals can be generated by the same number of binomials. [Just pick a monomial $A \notin I$ and use that $I + (A) = \mathfrak{m}^2$.] What we would like is a statement in the spirit of Theorem 3.1,

$$I = (\text{monomials}) + (r \text{ binomials}), \quad r < d.$$

Making use of Theorem 3.1 we can now state a structure theorem for almost maximally generated ideals generated by quadrics.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and I an ideal of codimension d minimally generated by $\nu(\mathfrak{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics, let $r + 1$ be the Cohen–Macaulay type of I . Then up to a change of variables*

$$I = (x_1, \dots, x_r)\mathfrak{m} + I_{d-r},$$

where I_{d-r} is a Gorenstein ideal of $\mathbb{R}[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_d]$. With additional changes I can be written as

$$(1) \quad I = K_{r,d} + K_{d-r} + (x_1^2, \dots, x_r^2) + (x_{r+1}^2 \pm x_{r+2}^2, \dots, x_{r+1}^2 \pm x_d^2),$$

where $K_{r,d} + (x_1^2, \dots, x_r^2) = (x_1, \dots, x_r)(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and K_{d-r} is the edge ideal on the complete graph of vertex set $\{x_{r+1}, \dots, x_d\}$. In particular

$$I = (\text{monomials}) + (d - r - 1 \text{ binomials}).$$

Conversely, any ideal as in (1), over any field K , has Cohen–Macaulay type $r + 1$.

Proof. We give first some observations about the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}/I$. If I a Gorenstein ideal of codimension d , the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{A}$ is simply $(1, d, 1)$.

In general the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{A}$ has the form $(1, d, 1, \dots)$ with a string of s 1's of length $1 \leq s \leq d - 1$ because once a component has dimension 1 those that follow also have dimension 1 or 0. If $\mathbf{A}$ is not Gorenstein and $s > 2$, its socle has a generator of degree s , but no generator of degrees $[2, s - 1]$, since for instance $\mathbf{A}_s = \mathbf{A}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A}_{s-1} \neq 0$. This implies that besides the generator in degree s the other generators are in degree 1. In particular this means that there is a linear form h such that $h \cdot \mathfrak{m} \subset I$.

We could actually do better: Let S be the socle of $\mathbf{A}$ and $S_1 = S \cap \mathbf{A}_1$. Then S_1 is a vector space of dimension r , where $r = \text{type}(I) - 1$. Assume that $r \neq 0$, that is I is not Gorenstein.

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ (after relabeling) a set of linear forms that generate S_1 . Denote $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x_1, \dots, x_r)$, let I' be the image of I in $\mathbf{R}'$. Then

$$I = (x_1, \dots, x_r)\mathfrak{m} + I'\mathbf{R},$$

and

$$I \cap (x_1, \dots, x_r)\mathbf{R} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)\mathfrak{m},$$

which means that we have embeddings

$$I/(x_1, \dots, x_r)\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}', \quad \mathbf{A}/(S_1) \simeq \mathbf{R}'/I' = \mathbf{A}'.$$

The ideal I' is a submaximal ideal of $\mathbf{R}'$ and the Hilbert function of $\mathbf{A}'$ has the form $(1, d-r, 1, \dots)$. Furthermore the strands $(\dots)$ of the Hilbert functions of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}'$ are identical. Moreover I' is a Gorenstein ideal: Otherwise we would have a linear form $g \in \mathbf{R}'$ with $g\mathbf{m}' \subset I'$, and since we already have $g \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_r) \subset I$, we would have $g\mathbf{m} \subset I$, which is a contradiction to the definition of S_1 . Note that in particular the strand $(\dots)$ consists of 0's.

Finally the monomials are assembled and we apply Theorem 3.1 to I' . For the final assertion, we note that $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ lies in the socle of $\mathbf{A}$ and thus $\text{type}(I) \geq r+1$. For the converse one uses induction on sequences of the kind $0 \rightarrow I/x_1\mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/(x_1) = \mathbf{R}' \rightarrow \mathbf{R}'/I' \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow (x_1)/x_1\mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}'/I' \rightarrow 0$ to calculate types. $\square$

A more abstract, but less detailed structural description of Gorenstein ideals that holds for all fields is the following polarized version of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathbf{R} = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and I a Gorenstein ideal of codimension d minimally generated by $\nu(\mathbf{m}^2) - 1$ quadrics. Then there is a set of linearly independent forms $\{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ such that*

$$I = (x_i y_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq d, i \neq j, x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2, \dots, x_1 y_1 - x_d y_d).$$

Conversely, any ideal of this form is Gorenstein.

Proof. We use the notation of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider the bilinear form

$$(u, v) \mapsto uv = C(u, v)h, \quad C(u, v) \in K.$$

$C(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a non degenerate bilinear form since $\mathbf{A}$ is a Gorenstein algebra. Fix the basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ and pick its dual basis of linear forms $\{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ with respect to C . This means that $C(x_i, y_j) = \delta_{i,j}$, so that we have

$$J = (x_i y_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq d, i \neq j, x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2, \dots, x_1 y_1 - x_d y_d) \subset I.$$

Note that J has codimension d and

$$J + (x_1 y_1) = (x_1, \dots, x_d)(y_1, \dots, y_d) = \mathbf{m}^2.$$

In other words J is submaximally generated and thus $J = I$.

The converse uses the same argument as the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *If I is a Gorenstein ideal of $K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ of codimension d submaximally generated by quadrics, then $I^2 = \mathbf{m}^4$.*

Proof. $\mathbf{m}^2 = (x_1, \dots, x_d)(y_1, \dots, y_d)$, so

$$\mathbf{m}^4 = (x_i y_j x_k y_\ell, 1 \leq i, j, k, \ell \leq d).$$

Let us check the two types of critical monomials:

$$x_1^2 y_1^2 = (x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2)(x_1 y_1 - x_3 y_3) + (x_1 y_3)(x_3 y_1) + (x_1 y_2)(x_2 y_1) - (x_2 y_3)(x_3 y_2) \in I^2,$$

$$x_1 y_1 x_1 y_2 = x_1 y_2 (x_1 y_1 - x_3 y_3) + x_1 y_3 x_3 y_2,$$

and similar ones. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, 2006. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- [3] J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Koszul homology and blowing-up rings, in Proc. Trento Conf. in Comm. Algebra, Lect. Notes in Pure and Applied Math. **84**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983, 79–169.
- [4] J. Hong, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, On the equations of almost complete intersections, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **43** (2012), 171–199.
- [5] J. Hong, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Extremal Rees algebras, *J. Commutative Algebra* **5** (2013), 231–267.
- [6] S. Huckaba, A d -dimensional extension of a lemma of Huneke’s and formulas for the Hilbert coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 1393–1401.
- [7] S. Huckaba and T. Marley, Hilbert coefficients and the depths of associated graded rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* **56** (1997), 64–76.
- [8] C. Huneke, On the associated graded ring of an ideal, *Illinois J. Math.* **26** (1982), 121–137.
- [9] M. Johnson and B. Ulrich, Artin–Nagata properties and Cohen–Macaulay associated graded rings, *Compositio Mathematica* **103** (1996), 7–29.
- [10] T. Marley, The reduction number of an ideal and the local Cohomology of the associated graded ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117**(1993), 335–341.
- [11] A. Ooishi, Castelnuovo’s regularity of graded rings and modules, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **12** (1982), 627–644.
- [12] F. Planas–Vilanova, On the module of effective relations of a standard algebra, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **124** (1998), 215–229.
- [13] N. V. Trung, The Castelnuovo regularity of the Rees algebra and associated graded ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 2813–2832.
- [14] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Integral Closure*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, New York, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN CONNECTICUT STATE UNIVERSITY, 501 CRESCENT STREET, NEW HAVEN, CT 06515-1533, U.S.A.

E-mail address: hongj2@southernct.edu

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE PERNAMBUCO, 50740-540 RECIFE, PE, BRAZIL

E-mail address: aron@dmf.ufpe.br

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN RD, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019, U.S.A.

E-mail address: vasconce@math.rutgers.edu